

DEVELOPMENT OF LOW GLYCEMIC INDEX FOOD PRODUCTS INCORPORATING SORGHUM, MORINGA OLIEFERA, NIGELLASATIVA FOR TYPE II DIABETIC PATIENTS

Ankita Sharma and Manshi Arora

Manav Rachna International Institute of Research and Studies, Delhi-Surajkund Road, Faridabad, Haryana, India.

Abstract

The widespread occurrence of Diabetes Mellitus has presented a dire need for innovative approaches to mitigate and treat this enduring condition. Glycemic Index plays an important role in maintaining blood glucose levels in normal population as well as in Diabetic patients.

The present study aimed to develop low glycemic index food products incorporating Sorghum flour, *Moringa oliefera* leaves powder, *Nigella Sativa* for Type II diabetic patients (age group 30-50 years) and to evaluate the sensory acceptability and nutritional content of the products through proximate analysis. Moringa leaves were collected, washed and shade dried for 24 hours and finally ground to fine powder to be used in the development of Tortilla Chips and Dip. Two variations of Tortilla Chips: Sample T1 (*Sorghum, Moringa* and *Nigella* Tortilla Chips) and Sample T2 (*Sorghum* and *Nigella* Tortilla Chips) were made. A dip rich in *Moringa* leaves powder was also developed as an accompaniment. A team of 50 trained panelists did the organoleptic evaluation. The statistical analysis of sensory evaluation was carried out using ANOVA and t-test (SPSS Software). The overall acceptability score of T2 was 8.04 ± 1.19 and was more acceptable than T1 at 0.05% significance level. The *Moringa* dip was also accepted with an overall acceptability score of 8.04 ± 1.19 . The developed products present a good snacking option and a healthier alternative to processed snacks for Diabetic patients.

Key words: Diabetes Mellitus, Glycemic Index, Sorghum, Moringa oliefera, Nigella sativa, Tortilla Chips.

Introduction

Along with obesity, prevalence of diabetes is increasing in all parts of the world (Anthanont et al., 2016: 308-313). Glycemic Index is a list of carbohydrate containing foods based on the increase in blood glucose produced by them. Also, it is the area covered by a blood glucose response curve, on consumption of 50g of available carbohydrate from a sample food. Low GI diets improve glycemic control over and above that obtained by conventional or high-GI diets. There are certain foods that reduce post prandial blood glucose levels such as whole grain foods, millets (Bamosa et al., 2010: 344-54; Ruhembe et al., 2014: 53). Herbs and spices such as Fenugreek, Cinnamon, Ginseng, Moringa, Holy basil, Nigella seeds (Dayakar Rao et al., 2017: 112; Gopalakrishnan et al., 2016: 49-56). Out of the listed food items, Sorghum, Moringa oliefera leaves and Nigella sativa were used in the present study to develop low glycemic index food products and to assess the

acceptability of the developed products through sensory evaluation and nutritional content through proximate analysis. The component of sorghum that is favourable for diabetes and high lipid levels in the body is slow digestible starch. It slows down the digestion process and carbohydrate absorption in the body (Mensah et al., 2012: 107-112). Moringa belongs to the Order Brassicales and Family Moringaceae and is known commonly by many names such as Horseradish tree, Drumstick Tree, Saijihan, Sajna. The fibre in Moringa leaves slows down gastric emptying. Polyphenols such as flavanoids and phenoilic acids impart anti-diabetic activity to Moringa leaves. This is the reason for the decrease in plasma and urine glucose values. A dose of 4g Moringa leaves powder increases the secretion of insulin in normal subjects (Brand-Miller et al., 2003: 2261-2267; AbuKhader, 2012: 65-68). Nigella sativa also known as 'Kalonji' belongs to the family Ranunculaceae. Nigella seeds increase insulin secretion and slow down the absorption of glucose in the intestines. Therefore, it

forms an essential part of diabetes management and people having glucose intolerance (Vergara-Jimenez and Maria Luz Fernandez, 2017: 91).

Materials and Methods

Fig. 1 shows the study was conducted in the following phases:

Phase 1: Procurement of Ingredients

The ingredients that were used in the study were sorghum flour, wheat flour, bengal gram flour, *Moringa* leaves powder, *Nigella sativa*, coriander leaves, mint leaves, curd. *Moringa* leaves were collected from a tree in a local village of Uttrakhand.

• Processing of Moringa Leaves:

Procurement of ingredients (Sorghum flour, moringa leaves, nigella seeds)

Preparation of Moringa powder

a) Washing,Drying of moringa leaves through shade drying,

b)Grinding of leaves into a fine powder

Development of new products a) Moringa tortilla chips b)Sorghum,nigella tortilla chips c)Moringa dip

> Sensory evaluation of the product using Hedonic Rating Scale and Composite Score card

Proximate analysis of the test samples

Statistical Analysis of sensory acceptability of developed products (using SPSS software)

Fig. 1: Study Design

After plucking of leaves, they were washed thoroughly and shade dried for 24 hours at room temperature (Rashmi and Shilpy, 2016: 1-11). Following this, the leaves were ground to a fine powder.

Phase 2: Development of the products

• Development of Tortilla Chips:

Recipe:

1. Preparation of a soft dough by combining all the ingredients using lukewarm water and oil

2. Rolling out the dough and pricking it evenly with a fork

3. Cutting of triangular shapes

4. Baking of chips in a pre-heated oven for 2 minutes

• Development of Dip:

Recipe:

1. Washing and chopping of onions, coriander leaves, mint leaves, ginger, garlic.

2. Grinding of the above ingredients into a fine paste.

3. Addition of the paste to hung curd.

4. Same method is used for *Moringa* dip with subsequent addition of *Moringa* powder also to hung curd.

Table 1 shows the amounts of all the ingredients along with their carbohydrate content in Tortilla chips. All the samples of tortilla chips were developed so that they contain total of 20 ± 0.5 g of available carbohydrate. (Available carbohydrates =Total Carbohydrate - Fiber).

Table 2 shows the amounts of all the ingredients along with their carbohydrate content in Dip. Both the samples of dip contained 5-6g of available carbohydrates. In combination, Tortilla chips and dip contain approximately 25g of available carbohydrates and hence, can be treated as a test sample for estimating its Glycemic Index with glucose or white bread as reference foods containing an equal amount of available carbohydrates (25g).

Phase 3: Sensory evaluation of the developed products

• Selection of Panel Members:

Selection of panel members involved the screening of 50 subjects from Manav Rachna International Institute Of Research And Studies, Faridabad, Haryana (MRIIRS). 50 trained panelists were selected on the basis of sensitivity threshold test.

a. Sensitivity threshold test

Selection procedure of panelist

The test was conducted by preparing four dilution of sugar using 5g, 10g, 15g and 20g in 100 ml of water. The

	SAMPLE T	SAMPLE T1	SAMPLE T2	
INGREDIENIS	(Standard)	(<i>Moringa</i> Tortilla chips)	(Sorghum and Nigella Tortilla chips)	
	Amount	Amount	Amount	
	(Carbohydrate content)	(Carbohydrate content)	(Carbohydrate content)	
Sorghum Flour	-	20(11.4)	20g(11.4)	
Wheat Flour	25g(13.18)	10g(5.27)	10g(5.27)	
Bengal Gram Flour	18g(7.23)	10g(3.01)	10g(3.01)	
Moringa leaves powder	-	$4g(0.76)^{1}$	-	
Nigella sativa	-	$2g(0.20)^2$	$2g(0.20)^3$	
Total available carbohydrates	20.41	20.56	19.8	
¹ Alfenas and Paiva, 2007: 197-202; Taweerutchana et al., 2017; ² Gopalakrishnan et al., 2016: 49-56;				

Table 1: Developed Variations of Tortilla Chips Along with Amount of Available Carbohydrates.

³Gopalakrishnan et al., 2016: 49-56 members were asked to taste the solution and to give

description of taste accordingly. Selection of panel members involved the screening of 50 trained subjects from, Manav Rachna International Institute Of Research And Studies, Faridabdad (Haryana)

b. Preparation of composite score cards

Sensory evaluation using composite score card by 50 trained panelists was done in the Food Science Laboratory, MRIIRS. It was based on taste, color, texture, flavor, appearance, mouthfeel and aftertaste and overall acceptability. The panelists were asked to rate the product on the scale of 10 with 1 being the lowest and 10 being the highest sore.

Phase 4: Proximate analysis of the developed products

Proximate analysis was carried out by Opal Research & Analytical Services (An ISO certified Laboratory), Ghaziabad. The parameters which were estimated in the present study were: Ash, Moisture, Protein, Fat, Carbohydrates, Crude fibre, Total Phenolic Content and Total Flavanoid Content.

• Ash Content (AOAC, 2005)

APPARATUS

Crucible, muffle furnace, desiccators.

PROCEDURE

10-15 g of the sample was weighed accurately into a porcelain crucible (which has previously been heated to about 600°C and cooled). Then the crucible was placed in muffle furnace for 3-5 hours at about 60°C.It was then cooled in desiccators and weighed. This was repeated till two consecutive weights were same and the ash was almost white or gravish white in color.

CALCULATIONS

Percentage of ash = W_2 - $W_1/W_1 \times 100$.

Where,

 W_1 = weight of crucibles (g)

 W_2 = weight of crucible and ash (g)

W = weight of dry sample.

• Moisture (AOAC, 2005)

APPARATUS

Moisture dish, oven and desiccators

PRINCIPLE

The empty dish and the lid were dried for 15 minutes in the oven at 100°C. Following which they were transferred to the desiccators for the purpose of cooling for 10-20 minutes. 10-15 g of the sample was transferred to the dish. Then, the lid was replaced and the weight of the dish with contents was measured quickly to the nearest milligram. The lid was again removed and weight of the dish from the oven was measured. After replacing the lid, the dish was cooled in desiccators and re-weighed. The dish was dried until the weight was constant.

CALCULATION

Moisture (%)= $(W1-W2)/W1-W \times 100$

Where,

W1=Weight in grams of the dish with the material before drying

W2=Weight in grams of the dish with the material after drying

W= Weight in grams of the empty dish

• Protein (AOAC, 2005)

APPARATUS

Kjehldal flask, volumetric flasks, burette and condenser.

REAGENTS

Potassium sulphate, copper sulphate, concentrated sulphuric acid, sodium hydroxide and boric acid.

PROCEDURE

10-15 g of the dried sample was taken in 500 ml

Ingredients	Sample A (STANDARD)	Sample B (<i>MORINGA</i> DIP)	
	Amount	Amount	
	(Carbohydrate content)	(Carbohydrate content)	
Hung Curd	70g(3.6)	70g(3.6)	
Onion	15g(1.0)	15g(1.0)	
Coriander leaves	8g	4g	
Mint leaves	2g(0.3)	2g(0.3)	
Moringa Leaves powder	-	$4g(0.76)^4$	
Ginger	2.5g	2.5g	
Garlic	2.5g(0.4)	2.5g(0.4)	
Total available carbohydrates	5.3	6.0	
⁴ Alfenas and Paiva, 2007: 197-202: Taweerutchana <i>et al.</i> , 2017			

 Table 2: Developed Variations of Dip Along with Amount of Available

 Carbohydrates (As an Accompaniment to the Chips).

kjehldal flask separately to which 1 g of digestion mixture (9.5 g of potassium sulphate and 0.5 g copper sulphate) and 20 ml concentrated sulphuric acid were added, glass buds were introduced to check bumping. The flask was then kept on the electric heater till the digested material becomes colorless or light bluish green in color. The flask was cooled overnight and material was diluted with distilled water to make the volume 250 ml in a volumetric flask 25 ml of aliquot was taken and 80-100 ml of saturated sodium hydroxide was added and was kept on distillation unit, which was connected to a condenser. The lower end of the condenser was dipped in solution of 25ml of 2% boric acid containing Tashiro's indicator (methyl red 80 mg, methyl blue 20mg and methanol 100 ml) in a conical flask. Distillation was carried out for 45 minutes during which all the ammonia releases was tapped in the boric acid. The distillate was titrated in the conical flask against standard N/10 H₂SO₄ solution taken in a burette till the red color just reappeared. The percent of nitrogen was calculated by the following formula:

Percent Nitrogen =
$$\frac{M \text{ of } N/10 \text{ H}_2\text{SO}_4 * 0.0014 \times \text{Dilution factor}}{2 \text{ g of sample taken for digestion}}$$

The protein content was calculated by using factor 6.25.

Carbohydrates

PROCEDURE:

Carbohydrate content of the sample was estimated by subtracting from 100 the sum of the volume (per 100g) of the moisture, crude protein, crude fat, ash and crude fibre.

CALCULATIONS:

Formula for estimating carbohydrate was:

Carbohydrate contents = 100-[moisture + crude protein + crude fat + ash (g/100g) + crude fibre content.

• Crude Fibre (AOAC, 2005)

APPARATUS

Crucible and muffle furnace

REAGENTS

Dilute sulphuric acid, sodium hydroxide solution and ethyl alcohol.

PROCEDURE

10-15 g of moisture and fat free samples were weighed accurately into a 500-ml beaker and 200 ml boiling 0.25 N (1.25 percent) sulphuric acid added. The mixture was boiled for 30 minutes keeping the volume constant by addition of distilled water at frequent intervals. At the end of this period the mixture was

filtered through a muslin cloth and the residue was washed with hot water till free from acid. The material was transferred to the same beaker and 200 ml of boiling 3.313 N (1.25 percent) NaOH was added. After boiling for 30 minutes (keeping the volume constant as before), the mixture was filtered through a muslin cloth and residue was washed with hot water till free alkali followed by a wash with some alcohol. It was then transferred to the crucibles dried overnight at 80-100°C and then weighed (W1). The crucible was heated in a muffle furnace at 600°C for 2-3 hours, cooled and weighed again (W2). The difference in weights (W1-W2) represents the weight of crude fibre, percentage of crude fibre was determined by the following formula:

CALCULATIONS:

Crude Fibre = $(W1 - W2) / W \times 100$

Where,

W1= weight of crucibles with residue before ashing (g)

W2 = weight of crucibles after ashing (g)

W = weight of dried samples (g)

• Total Phenolic Content

The method used for estimation of total phenolic content was by using Folin-Ciocalteu (FC) reagent. The extract (0.5ml) was mixed with 0.5 ml of FC reagent (1:1 diluted with distilled water) and incubated for 5 min at 22°C followed by addition of 2 ml of 20% Na₂CO₃. The mixture was then incubated further at 22°C for 90 minutes and the absorbance was measured at 650 nm. The total phenolic content (mg/ml) was calculated using gallic acid as standard (Longvah *et al.*, 2017).

• Total Flavanoid Content

The method used for estimation of total flavanoid content (mg/ml) was by using aluminum chloride (AlCl3)

method. The assay mixture consisting of 0.5 ml distilled water and 0.3 ml of 5% NaNO₂ was incubated for 5 minutes at 25°C. This was followed by addition of 0.3 ml of 10% AlCl₃ immediately. Two milliliters of 1M NaOH was then added to the reaction mixture and the absorbance was measured at 510 nm. Quercetin was used as a standard (Longvah *et al.*, 2017).

Phase 5: Statistical Analysis of Data

The statistical analysis of sensory evaluation was done using ANOVA and T-test through SPSS software.

Results

Sensory Evaluation Of Developed Product

Table 3 determines the sensory evaluation of developed product. It tells the mean acceptability score of attributes between Sample T, Sample T1, Sample T2 by composite score test.

Regarding taste. Sample T (standard) (8.06±1.18) was more acceptable than Sample T1 and Sample T2. The difference was significant between Sample T and Sample T1. Sample T2 was more acceptable (8.02 ± 1.15) than Sample T1 with the difference being statistically significant. In terms of color, Sample T was more acceptable (8.32 ± 1.07) than Sample T1 and T2. The difference was statistically significant between Sample T and Sample T1. Out of Sample T1 and T2, Sample T2 was found to be more acceptable (8.06 ± 1.09) . In texture, Sample T was found to be more acceptable (8.021.15) than Sample T1 and Sample T2 with a statistically significant difference between Sample T and T1. Regarding Flavor, Sample T was more acceptable (8.1 ± 1.18) than Sample T1 and Sample T2 with the difference being statistically insignificant. In Appearance, Sample T was more acceptable (8.00 ± 1.17) than Sample T1 and Sample T2 but the difference was not statistically

APPEARANCE

MOUTHFEEL

AFTERTASTE

OVERALLACCEPTABILITY

significant. In mouthfeel, Sample T was more acceptable (8.021.15) than Sample T1 and Sample T2 but the difference was not statistically significant. Regarding Aftertaste, Sample T was more acceptable (8.021.15) than the others with a statistically significant difference between Sample T and Sample T1. Sample T was more acceptable. In terms of overall acceptability (8.021.15) Out of Sample T1 and Sample T2, Sample T2 was more acceptable with a statistically significant difference.

Table 4 depicts the sensory evaluation of developed product. It tells the mean acceptability score of attributes between sample A and sample B by composite score test.

Regarding taste, sample A is more acceptable (8.021.15) than sample B but the difference was not statistically significant. Regarding color, sample A was more acceptable (8.021.15) than sample B and the difference was statistically significant. In terms of texture, sample A was found to be more acceptable (8.021.15) than sample B with the difference between the two being statistically significant. In terms of flavor, sample A was found to be more acceptable (8.44 ± 1.29) than sample B but the difference was not statistically significant. Regarding appearance, sample A was found to be more acceptable (8.021.15) than sample B and the difference was statistically significant. In mouthfeel, sample A was found to be more acceptable (8.021.15) than sample B and the difference was found to be statistically significant. Regarding aftertaste, sample A was more acceptable than sample B and the difference was statistically significant. Regarding overall acceptability, sample A was more acceptable than sample B but the difference was not statistically significant.

The Proximate Analysis of Fresh Moringa Leaves and the Dried Moringa Leaves Powder (100g)

7.92±1.08

7.72±1.10

7.88±1.11

8.04±1.19b

0.085

0.166

0.013

0.002

The proximate analysis of fresh Moringa leaves and

Test.				
PARAMETERS	Sample T (Standard)	Sample T1 (<i>Moringa</i> Tortilla Chips)	Sample T2 (<i>Sorghum, Nigella</i> Tortilla Chips)	P value (at 0.05% significance)
TASTE	8.06±1.18	7.46±1.09 ^a	8.02±1.15 ^b	0.015
COLOR	8.32±1.07	7.52±1.03 ^a	8.06±1.09 ^b	0.001
TEXTURE	8.00±1.10	7.42±0.94 ^a	7.90±.94	0.019
FLAVOR	8.1±1.18	7.58±1.21	7.96±1.12	0.076

8.00±1.17

7.92±1.19

8.00±1.27

8.26±1.27

 Table 3: Mean Acceptability Score of Attributes of Tortilla Chips Incorporated with Different Variations by Composite Score Test.

^adenotes a statistically significant difference between Sample T and T1; ^bdenotes a statistically significant difference between Sample T1 and T2

7.52±1.18

7.48±1.16

7.32±1.25ª

7.42±1.16^a

PARAMETERS	Sample A	Sample B	t-test value (at 0.05% significance	
TASTE	8.46±1.19	8.02±1.15	0.064	
COLOR	8.58±1.08	8.06±1.09	0.019*	
TEXTURE	8.44±1.23	7.90±1.18	0.028*	
FLAVOR	8.44±1.29	7.96±1.12	0.050*	
APPEARANCE	8.42±1.29	7.92±1.08	0.039*	
MOUTHFEEL	8.36±1.20	7.72±1.10	0.007*	
AFTERTASTE	8.40±1.29	7.88±1.11	0.034*	
OVERALLACCEPTABILITY	8.44±1.28	8.04±1.19	0.109	
Sample A: Standard Mint Dip; Sample B: Moringa dip				

 Table 4: Mean Acceptability Score of Attributes of Dip Enhanced with Moringa Powder by Composite Score Test.

the dried *Moringa* leaves powder shows that since the powder is a concentrated form, there is a substantial increase in all the parameters namely: Energy, protein, fat, carbohydrate, fibre, moisture and ash. There was a 67.29% reduction in moisture content during shade drying. Due to a low moisture content, the powder has a good shelf life. Since ash content is a measure of mineral content, an ash content of 7.8g in dried moringa leaves powder is indicative of it being a rich source of minerals. After the estimation of total phenolic content and total flavanoid content in fresh and dried *Moringa* leaves powder, the result of the study indicates higher flavanoid content in dried *Moringa* leaves powder than fresh leaves. The total phenolic content did not increase considerably after shade drying of the leaves.

Test Samples of Tortilla Chips and Dip

Table 5 presents the proximate analysis of the two variants of tortilla chips; Sample T1 and Sample T2. The energy content was higher (417.42 Kcal) in sample T2 (*Sorghum, Nigella* tortilla chips) than Sample T1. There was a slight difference between the carbohydrate content of both the samples. Protein content was higher (11.13g) in Sample T1 (*Moringa* tortilla chips) than Sample T2. Fat content was higher (15.7 g) in Sample T2 (*Sorghum, Nigella* tortilla chips) than Sample T2. Fat contain approximately 50g of available carbohydrates per 100g. Moisture content was more in Sample T1 (3.21 g)

as compared to 2.91g in Sample T2. There was a slight difference in total ash content between the two samples. The proximate analysis of dip enhanced with *Moringa* powder. It is a low calorie product (87.5 kcal in 100g of *Moringa* dip). The dip contains 5.2g of available carbohydrate per 100g.

Discussion

The products developed in the study, Sample T1 (*Moringa* Tortilla Chips) and Sample T2 (*Sorghum*,

Nigella Tortilla Chips) and Sample B of Dip (Moringa Dip) were well accepted by the trained panelists. The overall acceptability score of T2 was 8.04±1.19 and was more acceptable than T1. The *Moringa* dip was also accepted with an overall acceptability score of 8.04 ± 1.19 . The proximate analysis values of Moringa leaves powder were in agreement with the study conducted by (Gopalakrishnan et al., 2016: 49-56). The powder contains 2.92 mg/ml of total phenolic content and 9.38 mg/ml of total phenolic content through the use of shade drying. These compounds are responsible for the antioxidant capacity and β -cell protection. The developed products present a good snacking option and a healthier alternative to processed snacks for diabetic patients. Further prospects of the study might include the estimation of Glycemic Index of Tortilla Chips and Dip in combination to study the synergistic effect of all the three anti diabetic ingredients. The subjects can be normal healthy individuals or those suffering from Type II Diabetes.

Acknowledgment

This research was supported by the Department of Nutrition and Dietetics, Faculty of Applied Science, Manav Rachna International Institute of Research and Studies, Faridabad, India. We are thankful to our colleague Dr Divya Sanghi, Head of Department, who provided expertise that greatly assisted the research.

Table 5: Proximate Analysis of the Two Variants of Tortilla Chips (In 100 G) and Dip.

PARAMETERS	<i>MORINGA</i> TORTILLA CHIPS (SAMPLE T1)	<i>SORGHUM, NIGELLA</i> TORTILLA CHIPS (SAMPLE T2)	DIPENRICHED WIITH MORINGA POWDER (100 g)
Energy(Kcal)	412.14	417.42	87.5
Carbohydrates(g)	58.2	58.18	6.8
Protein(g)	11.13	10.85	4.5
Fat(g)	14.98	15.7	4.7
Fibre (g)	7.83	7.38	1.6
Moisture(g)	3.21	2.19	74.9
Total Ash (g)	4.6	4.65	7.5

- AbuKhader, M.M. (2012). Thymoquinone: a promising antidiabetic agent. *International Journal of Diabetes in Developing Countries.*, **32(2):** 65-68.
- Alfenas, R.D.C.G and E. Paiva (2007). Effect of glycemic index on satiety and body weight. *Revista de Nutrição.*, 20(2): 197-202.
- Anthanont, P., N. Lumlerdkij, P. Akarasereenont, S. Vannasaeng and A. Sriwijitkamol (2016). *Moringa oleifera* leaf increases insulin secretion after single dose administration: A preliminary study in healthy subjects. *Journal of the Medical Association of Thailand.*, 99(3): 308-313.
- Bamosa, A.O., H. Kaatabi, F.M. Lebdaa, A.M. Elq and A. Al-Sultanb (2010). Effect of *Nigella sativa* seeds on the glycemic control of patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Indian J. Physiol. Pharmacol.*, 54(4): 344-54.
- Brand-Miller, J., S. Hayne, P. Petocz and S. Colagiuri (2003). Low-glycemic index diets in the management of diabetes: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Diabetes care.*, **26(8)**: 2261-2267.
- Dayakar Rao, B., K. Bhaskarachary, G.D. Arlene Christina, G. Sudha Devi, A.T. Vilas and A. Tonapi (2017). Nutritional and health benefits of millets. ICAR Indian Institute of Millets Research (IIMR) Rajendranagar, Hyderabad, 112.
- Gopalakrishnan, L., K. Doriya and D.S. Kumar (2016). *Moringa* oleifera: A review on nutritive importance and its medicinal

application. *Food science and human wellness.*, **5(2):** 49-56.

- Longvah, T., I. A<u>n</u>anta<u>n</u>, K. Bhaskarachary and K. Venkaiah (2017). Indian food composition tables: National Institute of Nutrition. Indian Council of Medical Research.
- Mensah, J.K., B. Ikhajiagbe, N.E. Edema and J. Emokhor (2012). Phytochemical, nutritional and antibacterial properties of dried leaf powder of *Moringa oleifera* (Lam.) from Edo Central Province, Nigeria. *J. Nat. Prod. Plant Resour.*, 2(1): 107-112.
- Rashmi, S. and S. Shilpy (2016). Herbs and botanical ingredients with beneficial effects on blood sugar levels in prediabetes. *Herb. Med. Open Access.*, **2:** 1-11.
- Ruhembe, C.C., C.N. Nyaruhucha and T.C. Mosha (2014).Glycemic Index of selected staple foods used in the management of type 2 diabetes mellitus in Tanzania.Prevalence, Awareness and Management of Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus in Mwanza City, Tanzania, 53.
- Taweerutchana, R., N. Lumlerdkij, S. Vannasaeng, P. Akarasereenont and A. Sriwijitkamol (2017). Effect of *Moringa oleifera* leaf capsules on glycemic control in therapy-naive type 2 diabetes patients: A randomized placebo controlled study. Evidence-Based Complementary and Alternative Medicine, 2017.
- Vergara-Jimenez, M., M.M. Almatrafi and M.L. Fernandez (2017). Bioactive components in *Moringa oleifera* leaves protect against chronic disease. *Antioxidants.*, 6(4): 91.